

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 07/10/2002

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/841,490	04/24/2001	Scott Lee Wellington	5659-01100/EBM	3750
75	90 07/10/2002			
DEL CHRISTENSEN SHELL OIL COMPANY P.O. BOX 2463 HOUSTON, TX 77252-2463			EXAMINER	
			SUCHFIELD, GEORGE A	
HOUSTON, 17	\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3672	12

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	71		
Office Action Summary	09/841,490 Examiner	WELLINGTON ET AL. Art Unit			
		3672			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	George Suchfield				
Period for Reply		and don coponacined address ==			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a rep within the statutory minimum of thirty (vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH cause the application to become ABAI	ly be timely filed 30) days will be considered timely. IS from the mailing date of this communication NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	1.		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
	— · is action is non-final. · · · ···				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under a Disposition of Claims	•		3		
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1017-1096,5396 and 5397</u> is/are pen	ding in the application.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1017-1096, 5396 and 5397</u> are subject Application Papers	ct to restriction and/or electi	on requirement.			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	г.				
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accep	oted or b) objected to by the	e Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeyan	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ dis	approved by the Examiner.			
If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep	oly to this Office action.				
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).			
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.				
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received in Ap	olication No			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).				
14)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti			on).		
a) The translation of the foreign language pro	• •		ŕ		
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	ic priority under 35 U.S.C. §	9 120 and/or 121.			
Attachment(s)	A)	Immani (DTO 442) Danas Na (a)			
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 	5) Notice of Inf	immary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) formal Patent Application (PTO-152)			
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office					

Art Unit: 3672

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

I. Claims 1017-1057, 5396 drawn to a method of treating a hydrocarbon formation and inhibiting the production of hydrocarbons of carbon number greater than 25, classified in class 166, subclass 251.1.

II. Claims 1058-1096, 5396 drawn to a method of heating a hydrocarbon formation and inhibiting the production of hydrocarbons of carbon number greater than 25, classified in class 166, subclass 250.07.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 2. Inventions I and II are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions have different modes of operation, i.e., the Group I invention inhibits the production of hydrocarbons having a carbon numbers greater than 25 by controlling the average temperature in the formation, while the Group II invention achieves the same result by controlling the pressure in the formation .
- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Art Unit: 3672

5. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

A. Heating a hydrocarbon formation using an electrical heater(s). Claims 1020 and 1060 exemplify this species.

- B. Heating a hydrocarbon formation using a surface burner(s). Claims 1021 and 1061 exemplify this species.
- C. Heating a hydrocarbon formation using a flameless distributed combustor(s). Claims 1022 and 1062 exemplify this species.
- D. Heating a hydrocarbon formation using a natural distributed combustor(s). Claims1023 and 1063 exemplify this species.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 1017-1019, 1024-1059, 1064-1096, 5396 and 5397 are generic..

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after

Art Unit: 3672

the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

6. A telephone call was made to Eric B. Meyertons on July 9, 2002 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to George Suchfield whose telephone number is 703-308-2152. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (6:30 - 3:00).

Art Unit: 3672

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Bagnell can be reached on 703-308-2151. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-305-7697 for regular communications and 703-305-7697 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1113.

George Suchfield Primary Examiner Art Unit 3672

gs July 9, 2002